

SLAIN BY BANDIT?

Nephew of Bates Huntsman Declares Uncle Guiltless.

Says Cattleman Died at Hands of James Boys.

MADE TO BURY DEAD AND GOIN

Fresno Man Declares Outlaws Caught in Crime.

"Father of Accused Iowan and Son Forced to Hide Crime."

Fresno, Calif., July 10.—The Missouri cattleman and his son, who were murdered at Siam, Iowa, in 1868, were slain by a gang of which Frank and Jesse James were the leaders, according to C. P. Huntsman, a Fresno real estate man, nephew of Bates Huntsman, now under arrest at Bedford, Iowa.

Huntsman said today he would leave for Bedford immediately to assist in the defense of his uncle, the cattleman, Dr. C. R. Huntsman, and Bates Huntsman, who buried the bodies and the \$90,000 belonging to the cattleman, but did so at the orders of the James boys.

Although not born when the murder occurred, he says the story was told him both by his father and his uncle and has been known in the family for years.

"On the night the two were killed, Dr. Huntsman, who had been visiting at his brother's home, was summoned to attend Mrs. Floyd Collins, who was ill in the cabin of Jonathan Dark. Bates Huntsman accompanied the physician. Just before reaching the cabin they stumbled on the James boys and their gang, just after the murder had been committed.

"The two men were backed up against a tree and then Bates Huntsman was forced under threat of death to bury the bodies while Dr. Huntsman buried the gold.

"Although members of the family sought to have Dr. Huntsman reveal the hiding place he died without giving its location, and in his later years he was sorely touched by poverty."

TELS OF TRAGEDY.

Woman Who, as Girl, Saw Slayings Glad to Unburied Conscience.

St. Joseph, Mo., July 10.—Mrs. Maria Porter, who says that as a girl in 1868 she was witness to the murder of a cattle buyer by a band of counterfeiters at Siam, Iowa, today made a statement of the details. The pleasant-faced woman, who says that she has carried the secret of the crime in her bosom all these years, because she was afraid she would be killed if she revealed it, told the story of the killing without hesitation, although it had taken place five years ago.

Shared in Ill-Gotten Gains.

Mrs. Porter dwelt upon the days of her girlhood in the frontier cabin, when she and her brothers and sisters lived with their widowed mother and eked out an existence from their tiny farm. Near the farm five counterfeiters lived in a cave. The few neighbors knew them for what they were, as did the Collins family, at Siam, Iowa. Dark had married one of her sisters and oftentimes the gang helped the widow and her family by their ill-gotten gains.

Early in September, 1868, the counterfeit gang learned that a wealthy cattle buyer was on his way west to buy stock. The people roundabout knew it and knew that he would have money.

"We children heard the man was coming, but paid no attention," said Mrs. Porter. "I did not see the killing. I had been asleep that night, but as I must have been awake at 1 o'clock when I heard noises outside and went out. Five men carrying something wrapped up in a quilt were coming down the road. I saw one of them and they saw me. They came up and told me that if I ever told what I had seen they would 'wash their hands in my blood.'"

Terrified by Threat.

"Being a girl, I was terrified, and promised to keep their secret. They put the body in an old well near the house and then came back and made me wash the quilt which had been wrapped about the secret. They took their clothing which had become blood bespattered."

"In the moonlight, I saw a wagon drawn by an ox team standing in the road. In the wagon was a chest. They took the team away and I afterwards found out that they had buried the chest in a locust grove. The body of a boy who was with the cattle buyer also was buried in a shallow bespattered."

"From that night of the murder until about twenty years ago, I never told a soul about the murder, fearing that if I did so some member of the gang would kill me. About twenty years ago, I told my husband."

Dark Tries to Kill Her.

Some time after the murder Mrs. Porter and an elder sister came to Missouri to live in the secret of the home, where Mrs. Porter has lived more than forty years. It was after they had gone to Quimman that Jonathan Dark, her brother-in-law, became fearful lest the secret would become known and came to the house to kill her, she said.

"Dark became angry because he thought we did not treat him right and said he would kill me," Mrs. Porter said. "My sister took my part and as he reached for his pistol she shot him. Dark died with his head in my lap. I did not care any more than you would for a dog."

About twenty years ago Mrs. Porter says the family became acquainted with Samuel Anderson, who now owns the farm near Siam, Iowa, where the counterfeiters buried the chest of money taken from the murdered cattle buyer. Anderson heard of the burial of the money and that Mrs. Porter knew something about it. Anderson's son married Mrs. Porter's daughter.

Gives Up Her Secret.

Mrs. Porter says Anderson persuaded her to tell the secret to her husband. She says Anderson tried for fifteen years to locate the treasure chest and was not successful. Her story is vouched for by the son, John Anderson, who declares that every night while they lived on the farm he and his father dug for the treasure.

"For years this secret has been a heavy weight on my conscience," said Mrs. Porter, and I am glad it has

become known. I have not slept well for a long time. Yes, I am going to Bedford on Tuesday to testify.

"It is not true that I told Frank James about the treasure chest," she said. "I did not tell anybody but my husband, and that was twenty years ago."

VICTIM MISSOURI MAN.

Stockman, Victim of Iowan's Gold Chest Murder, From Macon.

Des Moines, Iowa, July 10.—Interest in the murder of a Missouri stockman and buried treasure case in which four aged men are to face charges in the courts of that Iowa town next Tuesday, was transferred to Des Moines today. C. A. Robbins, assistant attorney general, and his assistants returned to this city during the night for a conference on the situation with Attorney General Cossom.

According to information here and at Bedford, it has been practically settled that the cattleman who was murdered and robbed of \$90,000 by the James boys, was the late James Collins, a 14-year old girl, is said to have witnessed the Siam tragedy, the state will have at least eight other witnesses.

It was said today that Attorney W. W. Bulman of Chariton, Ia., who is assisting the attorney general's office, are keeping secret the identity of the other witnesses.

Attorneys at Bedford, Iowa, are Samuel Scribner and John and Henry Darnewood, were at liberty on bond today, the two latter having last night succeeded in finding sureties.

BEST SUBMARINES

U. S. to Have Most Efficient Under-Sea Craft.

Naval Officers Now Working on Elaborate Plans.

Washington, July 10.—Plans for making the American submarine more efficient than that of any other power are being worked out by the navy engineers through use of the latest information that the building program of the navy would include estimates for nearly double the number of undersea craft.

Navy officers claim the effectiveness of future American submarines will be vastly increased through use of a new battery being introduced for motive power while the underwater boats are submerged. The claim is based on the fact that the disappearing gun recently adopted.

At least thirty and perhaps more submarines will be asked of the next building program and the navy has asserted believe fifty or seventy-five would not be too many.

Secretary Daniels is said to favor the new battery, which is more familiar with President Wilson's views would not be surprised if he were to favor an unusually large program.

NINE BOMBS ON VESSEL

Officers of Kirkswald Tell of Attempt to Blow Up Ship.

New York, July 10.—Nine bombs were found aboard the steamer Kirkswald, which was wrecked when it went to discharge its cargo of sugar from New York on her last outward voyage, according to the Kirkswald officers, who reached here today on the steamer's return trip.

None of the bombs exploded and all were hidden in bags of sugar, the Kirkswald officers said. The sugar was taken aboard, they said, at the Fabre pier in Brooklyn.

All the bombs were found while unloading the cargo.

The steamer Kirkswald, flying the British flag, sailed from New York May 2 for Marseilles. This was about the time that the activities of the bomb plotters, so far as yet disclosed, reached their height.

Of the three other vessels which it was learned recently sailed out of New York with cargoes of sugar, two of them, two departed within a few days of the Kirkswald. These were the Lord Erne, sailing April 29, and the Bankdale, which left here May 7.

Officers of Kirkswald, flying the British flag, sailed from New York May 2 for Marseilles. This was about the time that the activities of the bomb plotters, so far as yet disclosed, reached their height.

Of the three other vessels which it was learned recently sailed out of New York with cargoes of sugar, two of them, two departed within a few days of the Kirkswald. These were the Lord Erne, sailing April 29, and the Bankdale, which left here May 7.

The steamer Kirkswald, flying the British flag, sailed from New York May 2 for Marseilles. This was about the time that the activities of the bomb plotters, so far as yet disclosed, reached their height.

Of the three other vessels which it was learned recently sailed out of New York with cargoes of sugar, two of them, two departed within a few days of the Kirkswald. These were the Lord Erne, sailing April 29, and the Bankdale, which left here May 7.

The steamer Kirkswald, flying the British flag, sailed from New York May 2 for Marseilles. This was about the time that the activities of the bomb plotters, so far as yet disclosed, reached their height.

Of the three other vessels which it was learned recently sailed out of New York with cargoes of sugar, two of them, two departed within a few days of the Kirkswald. These were the Lord Erne, sailing April 29, and the Bankdale, which left here May 7.

The steamer Kirkswald, flying the British flag, sailed from New York May 2 for Marseilles. This was about the time that the activities of the bomb plotters, so far as yet disclosed, reached their height.

Of the three other vessels which it was learned recently sailed out of New York with cargoes of sugar, two of them, two departed within a few days of the Kirkswald. These were the Lord Erne, sailing April 29, and the Bankdale, which left here May 7.

The steamer Kirkswald, flying the British flag, sailed from New York May 2 for Marseilles. This was about the time that the activities of the bomb plotters, so far as yet disclosed, reached their height.

Of the three other vessels which it was learned recently sailed out of New York with cargoes of sugar, two of them, two departed within a few days of the Kirkswald. These were the Lord Erne, sailing April 29, and the Bankdale, which left here May 7.

The steamer Kirkswald, flying the British flag, sailed from New York May 2 for Marseilles. This was about the time that the activities of the bomb plotters, so far as yet disclosed, reached their height.

Of the three other vessels which it was learned recently sailed out of New York with cargoes of sugar, two of them, two departed within a few days of the Kirkswald. These were the Lord Erne, sailing April 29, and the Bankdale, which left here May 7.

The steamer Kirkswald, flying the British flag, sailed from New York May 2 for Marseilles. This was about the time that the activities of the bomb plotters, so far as yet disclosed, reached their height.

SUPREME COURT.

Continued from Page One.

ed from the giving of some promissory notes which Henry Foshna and Henry Quantic gave to F. A. Underwood. The notes were not paid and suit was filed. The case was argued by the attorneys and the court and jury to visit his home and have a good time. It was claimed that these remarks constituted reversible error, but the supreme court merely held them improper and did not affect the verdict.

Utilities under the jurisdiction of the Kansas public utilities commission cannot discontinue service without consent of the commission, according to an opinion handed down today by the supreme court. The Postal Telegraph company recently discontinued its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43 a year for the last five years. Complaint was filed with the commission, and H. O. Caster asked a writ mandamus to compel the Postal Telegraph company to reinstate its station at Syracuse, Kan., without permission of the commission, on the ground that it had been running the station at a loss of \$133.43